UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,406	07/10/2006	Lawrence L. Chapoy	0074-0002-US1	3483
91436 Fanelli Haag PL	7590 06/07/201 LLC	EXAMINER		
1909 K Street, I	N.W., Suite 1120	WINAKUR, ERIC FRANK		
Washington, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3777	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/07/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/566,406	CHAPOY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ERIC WINAKUR	3777				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>						
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	7 pane dady.e, 1000 0.21 11, 10	0 0.0. 2.0.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 10-16 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 10-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/13/07; 12/18/07.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/566,406 Page 2

Art Unit: 3777

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 10 - 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over March (USPGPub 2001/0034500) in view of DiCesare et al. ("Wavelengthratiometric probes for saccharides..." - cited by Applicant). March teaches an ophthalmic lens incorporating a receptor moiety for determining the amount of an analyte, including glucose, in ocular fluid (Figures 1, 2A, 2C). The lens can be a removable or implanted lens (paragraphs 0005 - 0008), receptor moieties can include boronic acid derivatives (paragraphs 0011 - 0013), and the lenses can be constructed using conventional methods with the receptor moiety covalently bound to the lens material (paragraphs 0024 - 0026) and can comprise a polymer material (paragraphs 0041 - 0043). March teaches all of the features of the claimed invention except for the particular sensing moiety of the claims. Di Cesare teaches an alternate boronic acidbased glucose sensor (p. 40, see text and Fig. 1), having properties (p. 42, section 3.1) consistent with the requirements of March. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the lens of March with the sensing moiety of Di Cesare, since March teaches that boronic acid deriviates may be used for the moiety and Di Cesare teaches one such sensing moiety.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,406 Page 3

Art Unit: 3777

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to ERIC WINAKUR whose telephone number is (571)272-

4736. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30-5; alternate Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Chen can be reached on 571/272-3672. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Eric F Winakur/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3777